

This article was downloaded by:

On: 25 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274>

### Solvent “Goodness” in Polymer Separation by Flow

Arturo Horta<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Instituto Rocasolano (CSIC) and Departamento de Química Física Facultad de Ciencias Universidad de Madrid Madrid, Spain

**To cite this Article** Horta, Arturo(1971) 'Solvent “Goodness” in Polymer Separation by Flow', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 5: 2, 487 – 490

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/00222337108069394

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222337108069394>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Solvent "Goodness" in Polymer Separation by Flow

It has been recently shown [1] that flow of a polymer solution through a tube should lead to a continuous separation of the polymer molecules according to size. The reason is that the average polymer velocity is greater than that of the fluid. The difference between both velocities is proportional to the effective radius of the polymer molecule. Consequently, larger molecules flow faster than smaller ones and a continuous separation occurs. Separation of polymer molecules according to size is important because polymer dimensions are proportional to some power of the molecular weight  $M$ , and separation of molecular weights is, thus, simultaneous with it.

The purpose of this letter is to point out that this separation of molecular weights by flow should become more effective with good solvents. The reason is, simply, that polymer dimensions are more strongly dependent on  $M$  when good thermodynamic conditions are present. For the mean square radius of gyration  $\langle S^2 \rangle$ , the well-known relationship  $\langle S^2 \rangle \sim M^{1+\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ) shows that the range of variation of  $\langle S^2 \rangle$  with  $M$ , for a given polymer, is extended by solvent "goodness" ( $\alpha > 0$ ).

In the case of separation by flow, the relevant molecular dimension is the effective radius  $a$ , which is also a measure of the hydrodynamic volume  $\nu$  [1]. For a random coil,  $a \sim \langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ . Hydrodynamic dimensions are not strictly proportional to  $\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ , however, when excluded volume is present [2]. But in all instances,  $\nu$  is related to  $M$  by the same functional form as  $\langle S^2 \rangle^{3/2}$  is, namely:  $\nu \sim M^{3/2+\epsilon}$  ( $\epsilon \geq 0$ ). The value of  $\epsilon$  for a given polymer-solvent system may differ from  $3/2\alpha$  if excluded volume affects the hydrodynamic and equilibrium molecular volumes differently, but in all cases  $\epsilon$  increases as thermodynamic conditions are improved. In this way, the range of molecular radii on which the difference between polymer and fluid average velocities depend is expanded by use of a good solvent ( $\epsilon > 0$ ).

To get an idea of the magnitude of the influence of solvent "goodness" on separation of molecular weights by flow, we shall compare the values of

the elution volumes for different  $M$ 's in two extreme cases: a  $\theta$ -solvent ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) and a very good solvent ( $\epsilon = 0.3$ ) [3]. The relation between elution volume  $V_e$  and molecular size, given by DiMarzio and Guttman [1], is

$$V_e = \pi r_0^2 \left[ 2 - (1 - R)^2 - 2\gamma R^2 \right]^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where  $r_0$  and  $l$  are the tube radius and length, respectively,  $R = a/r_0$ , and  $\gamma$  is a parameter that measures the local retardation effect suffered by the polymer during flow [1]. Suppose that a certain polymer sample consists of different molecular weights between a minimum value  $M_1$  and a maximum value  $M_2$ . The effectiveness of their separation depends on the range of elution volumes covered by the sample, i.e., on the difference  $\Delta V_e = V_e(M_1) - V_e(M_2)$  between the slowest and fastest flowing molecules. The molecular weight ratio  $M_2/M_1$  and the value of  $\epsilon$  determine the ratio between the effective radius of the largest and smallest molecule as [4]

$$\frac{R(M_2, \epsilon)}{R(M_1, \epsilon)} = \left[ \frac{M_2}{M_1} \right]^{1/2 + \epsilon/3} \quad (2)$$

In order to calculate  $\Delta V_e$  from Eqs. (1) and (2), it is necessary to specify, in addition to  $M_2/M_1$  and  $\epsilon$ , the value of the relative radius  $R$  for one of the two molecular weights ( $M_1$  or  $M_2$ ).

The results obtained for the normalized elution volume difference  $\Delta V = \Delta V_e / \pi r_0^2$  (with  $\Delta V_e$  computed using Eq. (1) in the simple case of no retardation,  $\gamma = 0$ ) are shown in Table 1 for three different molecular weight ratios ( $M_2/M_1 = 2, 5, 10$ ) and three different choices of relative radius [ $R(M_1, \epsilon = 0) = 0.1, 0.05, 0.01$ ] covering a wide range of  $\Delta V$  (the possible values of  $\Delta V$  are in the range 0-0.5)[1]. For each  $R(M_1, \epsilon = 0)$  the corresponding value of  $R(M_1, \epsilon = 0.3)$  has been taken as  $R(M_1, 0.3) = 1.5R(M_1, 0)$ ; i.e., the expansion factor for  $R(M_1)$  in a good solvent has been set constant and equal to 1.5 [5].

Table 1 shows that the influence of solvent "goodness" on elution volumes can become large. In fact, the difference between  $\Delta V$  for a good solvent and a  $\theta$ -solvent (last column) is of an order of magnitude similar to  $\Delta V$  itself. However, these numbers are for an extreme situation in which a very poor solvent ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) is compared with a very good one ( $\epsilon = 0.3$ ). Comparison between different solvent conditions for most practical cases should correspond to situations in between those tabulated.

Use of a good solvent should also decrease the spreading caused by

**Table 1.** Difference in Elution Volumes between the Highest and Lowest Molecular Weights of a Polymer Sample

R ( $M_1, \epsilon = 0$ )	$M_2/M_1$	$\Delta V$		$\Delta V (\epsilon = 0.3)$
		$\epsilon = 0$	$\epsilon = 0.3$	$-\Delta V (\epsilon = 0)$
0.10	2	0.048	0.070	0.022
	10	0.187	0.239	0.052
	50	0.318	—	—
0.05	2	0.031	0.051	0.020
	10	0.136	0.211	0.075
	50	0.279	0.362	0.083
0.01	2	0.007	0.014	0.007
	10	0.039	0.075	0.036
	50	0.100	0.195	0.095

Brownian diffusion on the elution volume peak of a given M. This is because spreading grows approximately as the inverse of the molecular diffusion coefficient [1], and the influence of excluded volume on a given polymer chain is to decrease its mobility [6].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks are due to Prof. A. Roig for his continued interest and help.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. A. DiMarzio and C. M. Guttman, *Macromolecules*, **3**, 131 (1970), and references therein to previous papers.
- [2] As expressed by the fact that  $[\eta]M/(S^2)^{3/2}$ , where  $[\eta]$  is intrinsic viscosity, depends on excluded volume, [P. J. Flory, *Statistical Mechanics of Chain Molecules*, Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1969, footnote on p. 37].
- [3] A typical value of the Mark-Houwink-Sakurada exponent for a flexible polymer in very good solvent conditions is 0.3.

- [4] In Eq. (2) it is assumed that the relationship  $\nu \sim M^{3/2+\epsilon}$  has the same proportionality constant for  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .
- [5] An example of this value is provided by PMMA ( $M = 3.3 \times 10^5$ ) in chloroform at 20°C, for which the expansion factor of  $\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  is 1.50 (I. A. Katime and A. Roig, unpublished results from this laboratory).
- [6] A. Horta and M. Fixman, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 3048 (1968).

Arturo Horta

*Instituto Rocasolano (CSIC) and  
Departamento de Química Física  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Universidad de Madrid  
Madrid, Spain*

*Accepted by editor August 12, 1970  
Received for publication August 14, 1970*